



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/465,514	12/16/1999	HENRY M. GLADNEY	A7254	8969
7590 04/20/2005 SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W			EXAMINER	
			HA, LEYNNA A	
	N, DC 200373213		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2135	
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/465,514	GLADNEY, HENRY M.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		LEYNNA T. HA	2135			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 March 2005</u> .					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	• •	·				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are rejected.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement				
ت (۵	are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
A441	w.,					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			
	rademark Office					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-42 remains rejected. Claims 1-42 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e).

2. This is a FINAL rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form

the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who

has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention

thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA)

and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply

when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed

before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C.

102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Garg, Et Al.

(US 6,625,603).

As per claim 1:

Garg discloses a storage system comprising:

a first storage area having an object stored therein; and [see FIG.3A]

Art Unit: 2135

a second storage area having stored therein an object identifier that identifies the object, wherein the object identifier is unique within and outside of the storage system. [see col.7, lines 5-6 and col.8, lines 10-15]

Page 3

As per claim 2: Garg discloses the object identifier is a Universal Unique Identifier (UUID).

[see col.8, lines 10-13]

As per claim 3: Garg discloses the first and second storage areas are storage areas within a database. [see col.5, lines 20-34]

As per claim 4: Garg discloses the object identifier is a Universal Unique Identifier (UUID). [see col.8, lines 10-13]

As per claim 5: Garg discloses the storage system is part of an access control system. [see col.6, line 60 – col.7, line 46]

As per claim 6:

Garg discloses a memory comprising:

a first storage area having an object stored therein; and [see FIG.3A]

a second storage area having stored therein an object identifier that identifies the object, wherein the object identifier is unique within and outside of the storage system. [see col.7, lines 5-6 and col.8, lines 10-15]

As per claim 7: Garg discloses the object identifier is a Universal Unique Identifier (UUID). [see col.8, lines 1-13]

As per claim 8: Garg discloses the first and second storage areas are storage areas within a database. [see FIG.3A and col.5, lines 20-34]

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 9: Garg discloses the object identifier is a Universal Unique Identifier (UUID). [see col.8, lines 1-13]

As per claim 10:

Garg discloses a method of storing information in a storage system, comprising:

storing an object in the storage system; and [see FIG.3A]

storing an object identifier in the storage system, wherein the object identifier identifies the object, and the object identifier is unique within and outside of the storage system. [see col.7, lines 5-6 and col.8, lines 10-15]

As per claim 11: as rejected on the same rationale as applied in claim 2.

As per claim 12: Garg teaches the object identifier is stored in a database. [see FIG.2 and col.6, line 66 – col.7, line 5]

As per claim 13: as rejected on the same rationale as applied in claim 12.

As per claim 14: Garg discloses the object identifier is a Universal Unique Identifier (UUID). [see col.8, lines 1-13]

As per claim 15: Garg discloses the object identifier is a Universal Unique Identifier (UUID). [see col.8, lines 1-13]

As per claim 16: Garg discloses the storage system is part of an access control system. [see col.6, line 60 - col.7, line 46]

As per claim 17:

Garg discloses an access control method comprising:

requesting access for a user to a remote resource [see col.5 lines 48-50], wherein the request includes a subject identifier [see col.2, lines 19-49] for use in making an access control decision [see col.7, lines 26-39], and wherein the subject identifier [see col.12, lines 61-67] is unique within and outside of the remote resource and identifies the user. [see col.13, lines 3-19 and col.14, lines 50-54]

As per claim 18: Garg discloses the subject identifier is a Universal Unique Identifier (UUID). [see col.2, lines 19-49 and col.12, lines 61-67]

As per claim 19: Garg discusses the request further includes a subject descriptor for use in the access control decision. [see col.8, lines 23-43]

As per claim 20: Garg discusses the subject descriptor is a UUID for an organizational structure that includes the user. [col.8, lines 23-47 and col.9, lines 5-12]

As per claim 21: Garg discusses the access control decision is made by a resource manager that protects the remote resource [see col.7, lines 7-20], and the request is sent over a communications path considered safe by the protecting resource manager and the user. [see col.7, lines 26-29 and col.8, lines 23-44]

As per claim 22:

Garg discloses a computer-readable medium having computer-executable code stored thereon comprising:

requesting access for a user to a remote resource [see col.5, lines 48-50], wherein the request includes a subject identifier for use in making an access control decision [see col.7, lines 26-39], and wherein the subject identifier [see col.12, lines 61-67] is unique within and outside of the remote resource and identifies the user. [see col.13, lines 3-19 and col.14, lines 50-54]

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 23:

as rejected on the same rationale as applied in claim 18.

Page 6

As per claim 24:

Garg discloses a method of identifying a user requesting access to an object, comprising:

establishing a secure communication path between a reference monitor protecting the object and a resource manager [see col.7, lines 7-25] having information describing the user, in

response to a request by the user to access the object; [see col.8, lines 1-43]

sending a request for user information from the protecting reference monitor to the

resource manager [see col.7, lines 26-39], the request including a subject descriptor for the user

[see col.8, lines 23-43 and col.13, line 42 - col.14, line 30], wherein the subject identifier is a

Universal Unique Identifier (UUID). [see col.13, lines 3-19 and col.14, lines 50-54]

[see col.7 lines 30-35]

As per claim 25:

Garg discloses determining, based on the received user information, if the user has permission to

access the request object. [see col.8 lines 45-53]

As per claim 26:

Garg discloses the user information includes information relating to an organization of which the

user is member. [see col.14 lines 50-54]

As per claim 27:

Garg discloses an information storage management system, comprising:

a collection of stored objects; [see col.8, lines 11-14]

Art Unit: 2135

an access control unit for determining if a requestor is authorized to access a protected

Page 7

object stored in the collection; [see col.7 lines 26-39]

a resource manager connected to the access control unit and to a communication channel;

[see FIG.3A]

wherein the resource manager receives a user's request for access to the protected object,

the request including a globally unique identifier for the user requesting the access [see col.8, lines

44-50], and in response to the user's request the resource manager sends over the

communications channel [see col.4, lines 45 - col.6, line 25] to an external storage management

system a request for information about the user [see col.7 lines 40-60], the request including the

globally unique identifier; and [see col.13, lines 3-19 and col.14, lines 50-54]

wherein the resource manager upon receiving a response including user information

about the user passes the user information [see col.13, line 42 - col.14, line 30] to the access control

unit [see col.7 lines 6-60]; and based on the user information the access control unit determines

whether to grant the subject access to the protected object. [see col.14, lines 13-30]

As per claim 28: Garg discloses the globally unique identifier is a Universal Unique Identifier

(UUID). [see col.8, lines 1-13]

As per claim 29: Garg discloses the user information is organization information indicating

whether the user is a member of an organization. [see col.14 lines 50-54]

As per claim 30:

Garg discloses an information storage management system, comprising:

a collection of stored objects; [see col.8, lines 11-14]

Art Unit: 2135

an access control unit for determining if a requestor is authorized to access a protected

object stored in the collection; [see col.7 lines 26-39]

a resource manager connected to the access control unit and to a communication channel;

[see col.7, lines 6-60 and FIG.3A]

wherein the resource manager receives a user's request for access to the protected object,

the request including a globally unique identifier for the user requesting the access [see col.8, lines

1-13], and in response to the user's request the resource manager resolves the globally unique

identifier to a user identifier recognized by an external storage management system [see col.7

lines 40-60]; the resource manager sending to the external storage management system a request

for information about the user, the request including the resolved user identifier; and [see col.9,

lines 10 thru col.11, line 66]

wherein the resource manager upon receiving a response including user information

about the user passes the user information [see col.8, lines 23-43] to the access control unit [see

col.7, lines 6-60]; and based on the user information the access control unit determines whether to

grant the subject access to the protected object. [see col.15, lines 39-54]

As per claim 31: Garg discloses the globally unique identifier is a Universal Unique Identifier

(UUID). [see col.13, lines 3-19 and col.14, lines 50-54]

As per claim 32: Garg discloses the user information is organization information indicating

whether the user is a member of an organization. [see col.14 lines 50-54]

As per claim 33: Garg discloses the resource manager resolves the globally unique identifier by

using a name server. [see col.6, lines 46-53]

Page 8

Art Unit: 2135

As per claim 34:

Garg discloses a method of accessing a protected object, comprising:

sending a globally unique identifier for a user to a name resolving device, and receiving

therefrom information about the user; and [see col.13, lines 3-67]

sending to a storage management system containing an object a request for access to the

object, the request including the information about the user.

[see col.7 lines 26-39 and col.14, lines 1-15]

As per claim 35: as rejected on the same rationale as applied in claim 31.

As per claim 36:

Garg discloses a computer-readable medium of computer-executable code for accessing a

protected object, comprising:

a first set of computer instructions for sending a globally unique identifier for a user [see

col.13, lines 3-19 and col.14, lines 50-54] to a name resolving device, and receiving therefrom

information about the user; and [see col.8, lines 23-43 and col.15, lines 1-53]

a second set of computer instructions for sending to a storage management system

containing an object a request for access to the object [see col.7 lines 26-39], the request including

the information about the user. [see col.13, line 12 – col.14, line 30]

As per claim 37: as rejected on the same rationale as applied in claim 31.

As per claim 38: The storage system of claim 1, wherein the object is a database record

describing a user. [see col.8 lines 5-36]

Page 9

As per claim 39: The memory of claim 6, wherein the object is a database record describing a

user. [see col.8 lines 5-36]

As per claim 40: The method of claim 10, wherein the object is a database record describing a

user. [see col.8 lines 5-36]

As per claim 41: The access control method of claim 17, wherein the subject identifier identifies a database record describing the user [see col.8 lines 5-36], and the database record is stored on a local resource physically separate from the remote resource. [see col.7 lines 57-61]

As per claim 42: The access control method of claim 22, wherein the subject identifier identifies a database record describing the user [see col.8 lines 5-36], and the database record is stored on a local resource physically separate from the remote resource. [see col.7 lines 57-61]

EXAMINER'S ARGUMENT

4. Applicant's arguments filed March 14, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The last rejection that was sent out January 13, 2005 was mistakenly made a Final rejection. Therefore the Examiner takes Applicant's response (March 14, 2005) as responding to a Non-Final rejection, hence, this rejection is now a Final rejection for the Examiner maintains the rejection in view of Garg, et al.

Art Unit: 2135

Garg also discloses GroupID which is an user identifier indicating that the user is a member of along with other users that has the similar access rights to the system (col.12, lines 61-67 and col.14, lines 50-54. The GUIDs of Garg has met the claimed limitations of claims 1-16, wherein the claim language states solely the "object" identifier and not limited to a "user". Further, the GroupID of Garg identifies the user which meets the claim language of claims 17-36, which states a subject identifier that identifies the "user". There is also arguments of Garg failing to disclose identifiers that are "unique" or "globally unique identifier", however, is taught by Garg a "Globally Unique Identifier (GUID)" (col.6, lines 65-66). Applicant utilizing the term "unique" is broad and fails to show how unique an identifier can be, hence, "Globally Unique Identifier" speaks for itself that it is an identification that is uniquely used globally. GUID does not differentiate whether it is unique only to one system and not the other or not unique across different systems for the term "globally" is inherently known in the art and does not narrow down to only one system.

In addition, Garg discusses that the invention may be used in a distributed computing environment where tasks are performed remotely linked through a communications network (col.4, lines 45-67 and col.6, lines 14-24) and Garg also indicates that it is known in the prior art for multi-user computer systems and systems connected to a multi-user network of computers require the ability to control and restrict access (col.1, lines 31-33 and col.2, lines 20-43).

Art Unit: 2135

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEYNNA T. HA whose telephone number is (571) 272-3851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (7:00 - 5:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2135

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LHa